Evaluation Phase

جلسه نهم- مبانی طراحی محیطی، نظریه ها و روشها اردیبهشت ماه 1398 Foreword by S. Rick Fedrizzi
President, CEO, and Founding Chair of the U.S. Green Building Council

Integrative Design Guide to Green Building

REDEFINING THE PRACTICE OF SUSTAINABILITY



7group and B

7group is JOHN BOECKER, SCOT HOR: ANDREW LAU, MARCUS SHEFFER, an









PAMELA MANG · BEN HAGGARD · REGENESIS

REGENERATIVE


DEVELOPMENT AND DESIGN


A FRAMEWORK FOR EVOLVING SUSTAINABILITY



Introduction

- Second Research & Analysis Phase: Evaluating possible strategies
- Conceptual Design Charrette





Stage A.3

Research and Analysis: Evaluating Possible Strategies

A.3.0 Prepare Proposal B

■ Develop Proposal B: confirm scope and fees based on Workshop No. 1 scope refinement

A.3.1 Research and Analysis Activities: First Iteration

- Explore and identify a wide range of opportunities and possible strategies before collapsing into solutions
- Expand the analysis of the four key subsystems:
 - Habitat
 - Water
 - Energy
 - Materials

A.3.2 Principles and Measurement

- Evaluate design concepts against Performance Targets from Workshop No. 1
- Commissioning: Prepare conceptual phase OPR

A.3.3 Cost Analysis

Apply unit cost estimates to the integrative cost-bundling template


A.3.4 Schedule and Next Steps


- Update Integrative Process Road Map in preparation for Workshop No. 2
- Prepare Agenda for Workshop No. 2

Research & Analysis: Evaluating Possible Strategies

Preparation of Proposal B

 The integrated decisions to be made before the CD phase to avoid significantly oversized systems, redundancies, and inefficiencies.





Explore and Identify a wide range of opportunities

- Exploring a wide range of design ideas and opportunities in terms of interrelationships, techniques, technologies, materials, and systems to investigate.
- We are not optimizing yet!
- Don't be encumbered by practicalities at this stage! This is the chance for blue-sky ideas!



Expand the Analysis of the Four Key Subsystems-Habitat


- Initial analysis of local habitat impacts and potential strategies to minimize negative impacts.
- Examine potential Indoor Air Quality strategies:
 - Daylighting criteria
 - Initial thermal comfort parameters
 - Potential adaptive thermal comfort strategies,
 - Natural/other ventilation systems....

Extending the thermal comfort range




reducing HVAC system size





Adaptive Thermal comfort




Optimum and Acceptable Ranges of Operative Temperature for People During Light, Primarily Sedentary Activity (≤1.2 met) at 50% Relative Humidity and Mean Air Speed ≤0.15 m/s (30 fpm)^a

Season	Description of Typical Clothing	I _{cl} (clo)	Optimum Operative Temperature	Operative Temperative Range (10% Dissatisfaction Criterion)
Winter	heavy slacks, long-sleeve shirt and sweater	0.9	22°C 71°F	20-23.5°C 68-75°F
Summer	light slacks and short-sleeve shirt	0.5	24.5°C 76°F	23-26°C 73-79°F



Office dress code: minimal dressing in summer

• By expanding the range of assumed thermal comfort parameters in early design, and therefore interior temperature settings during operations, project teams can potentially allow owners to downsize equipment and thereby save money and energy.



Water

- Investigate strategies associated with water quality and water conservation
 - Stormwater
 - Soil permeability
 - Initial quantification of annual water consumption and wastewater generation
- To test the ability for achieving initial performance targets.

Energy Budget					
All spaces	New construction—reduce energy cost budget by 30% compared to an ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2004 baseline building. Renovation—reduce energy cost budget by 20% compared to a pre-renovation				
	2003 baseline building.				
Lab Spaces	< 300 kBTU/gsf/year				
Office Spaces < 40 kBTU/gsf/year					
Computer Center	Computer Center < 50 k BTU/gsf/year				
Child Care Center	< 25 kBTU/gsf/year				
Lighting Budget					
All spaces Do not exceed the recommended light levels in the <i>IESNA Lightin Handbook</i> 9 th <i>Edition</i>					
Lab Spaces	1.00 W/gsf				
Office Spaces	0.65 W/gsf				
Computer Center	0.50 W/gsf				
Child Care Center	0.80 W/gsf Glazing				
HVAC Cooling Load	All spaces				
Lab Spaces	> 250 sf/ton				


Energy

Establishing overall energy performance targets

0.00 11/931	Glazing	
	_ v	Interior surface temperature of >62°F at outdoor temperature of 20°F
		interior carrace temperature or our at catagor temperature or 20 1
		0 1 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
> 600 sf/ton	All building projects	Comply with the requirements of the IPMVP Concepts and Options
		for Determining Energy Savings in New Construction, Volume III,
Comply with ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2004		Option B or D.
CO ₂ levels not to exceed 500 ppm	Daylighting	
CO ₂ levels not to exceed 700 ppm		2.0% daylight factor as calculated by the International/IESNA Method
CO ₂ levels not to exceed 700 ppm		2.0 % daylight factor as calculated by the international/ILSNA Method
CO ₂ levels not to exceed 700 ppm		
		25–30 fc of daylight
	Occupied Spaces	
	Thermal Comfort	
	All spaces	Comply with ASHRAE Standard 55-2004
	Water Budget	
	Office/Child Care	Do not exceed 3 gallons per person per day of potable water
	Renewable Energy	
	All building projects	On-site renewables
	All building projects	Green power purchase which meets the Green-e requirements for
	0. ,	100% of electric consumption
	CO ₂ levels not to exceed 500 ppm CO ₂ levels not to exceed 700 ppm CO ₂ levels not to exceed 700 ppm	> 250 sf/ton > 550 sf/ton > 400 sf/ton > 600 sf/ton Comply with ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2004 CO2 levels not to exceed 500 ppm CO2 levels not to exceed 700 ppm CO3 levels not to exceed 700 ppm CO4 levels not to exceed 700 ppm CO5 levels not to exceed 700 ppm CO6 levels not to exceed 700 ppm CO7 levels not to exceed 700 ppm CO8 levels not to exceed 700 ppm CO9 levels not t

Energy

- Perform simple buildingmassing energy modeling to explore "large-grain" issues such as:
 - Solar orientation
 - Footprint and massing relationships
 - Apertures
 - Load profiles
 - Day-lighting opportunities
 - Wind profiling
 - Potential for natural ventilation



Materials


- Develop a materials comparison using LEED criteria and/or begin initial life cycle assessment (LCA) with tools such as ATHENA.
- LCA is a scientific methodology for holistic thinking.
- All materials have an impact and determining which materials are "best" is often a subjective choice based on a trade-off of some sort.

INTEGRATIVE ANALYSIS	Product Assembly/ Manufacturing	Building Product Installation	Product Use	Product Maintenance	End of Life/ Reuse
Potential Human Health Impacts					
Potential Environmental Impacts					
Potential Safety Impacts					
Functional Unit: Lifecycle Scope: Supplemental Info	rmation:				

- LCA major concepts:
 - -Service life
 - -Durability
 - -Delamination (not using what you don't need)
 - -Deconstructability

Evaluate design concepts against performance Targets

These evaluations will require the level of analysis described above for the four key subsystems to assess in more detail the Performance Targets established by the team during Workshop No. 1 and to verify that they are capable of being achieved or exceeded by the expanded set of possible strategies being explored during this stage.




Commissioning

ASHRAE Definition: "A quality-oriented process for achieving, verifying, and documenting that the performance of facilities, systems, and assemblies meets defined objectives and criteria"

- Technical Monitoring as a key to building performance

Quality Control Loop to check for fulfillment of requirements.




Commissioning

- Commissioning is not construction, & it is not design, but it influences both.
- Commissioning Authority (CxA) has no contractual authority over design or construction!
- ⇒Cx must rely on other skill sets to accomplish the work of ensuring design compliance & systems performance during construction besides technical expertise:
 - Communication
 - Collaboration
 - Mediation
 - Remaining objective
 - Remaining calm!

A.3.2

Commissioning: prepare Conceptual Phase OPR

- Extracting the owners' conceptual project requirement from the owners' teams' responses to the questionnaire.
- This document will evolve over time as design decisions are made; hence similar updating exercises are recommended.



Applying unit cost estimates to the integrative cost-bundling template

Schedule and Next Steps






Update Integration Process Road Map in preparation for Workshop No. 2.

Prepare Agenda for Workshop No. 2.

Workshop No. 2: Conceptual Design Exploration

- Initiates the transition from research and alignment of objectives to the actual design process.
- Generating conceptual design ideas or reviewing them.
- All day event up to 4 days event.
- The builder's participation is highly valuable.





Distribute Workshop No. 2 Report

- Meeting agenda
- Lists of attendees
- Photos of activities
- Site forces exercise sketch
- Images of all conceptual sketches
- Meeting notes recording additional findings, results, reflections, "what to keep", etc.
- Touchstones, Principles, Metrics, Benchmarks, Performance Targets- Including updated LEED checklist, if applicable
- Updated integrative cost-bundling template
- Process Road Map spreadsheet of schedule and tasks
- Next steps

Questions to Consider for writing the Reflections:



WHAT IS
COMMISSIONING?
ITS BENEFITS,
DRAWBACKS, AND
CONDITIONS?



ESTABLISH INITIAL BENCHMARKS,
AND PERFORMANCE TARGETS FOR
ANY OF THE FOUR KEY
SUBSYSTEMS IN YOUR STUDIO
PROJECT.



HOW IS COMMISSIONING
CONDUCTED IN OUR
COUNTRY? WHAT CHALLENGES
DO WE FACE IN CONDUCTING
FULL SCALE COMMISSIONING
IN OUR COUNTRY?



WHAT IS THE
ESSENCE OF PLACE
IN TALESH? TRY TO
DESCRIBE IT IN ONE
WORD!